



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/522,527	10/10/2006	David Dakin Iorwerth Wright	07588.0082	7497
22852	7590	11/25/2008		
FINNEGAN, HENDERSON, FARABOW, GARRETT & DUNNER LLP 901 NEW YORK AVENUE, NW WASHINGTON, DC 20001-4413			EXAMINER SOROUSH, ALI	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1616	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			11/25/2008	PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Interview Summary

**Application No.**

10/522,527

**Applicant(s)**

WRIGHT ET AL.

**Examiner**

ALI SOROUSH

**Art Unit**

1616

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) ALI SOROUSH.(3) Anthony Tridico.(2) Johann Richter.(4) Brenda Honeycutt.

Date of Interview: 14 November 2008.

Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference  
c) ☒ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☒ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☒ Yes e) ☐ No.

If Yes, brief description: Applicant showed a slide testing three different sclerosing compositions with different concentrations of nitrogen gas in an animal study. The slides showed the level of blockage by gas bubbles in the veins and/or arteries of the animal of each composition.

Claim(s) discussed: 1-26 and 28-39.

Identification of prior art discussed: Osman et al. (International Application Published Under the PCT WO 00/72821 A1, Published 12/07/2000).

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant has stated that they would provide an additional declaration of unexpected results incorporating the data of the Eckman study presented in the demonstration. The Examiner will reconsider the rejections of record upon the filing of the declaration.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

11/14/08

/Johann R. Richter/  
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1616